

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,590	01/27/2004	Wataru Domon	U2054.0149	1693
32172 DICKSTEIN S	7590 10/17/2007 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)			PEACHES, RANDY	
NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
			<u></u>	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/764,590	DOMON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Randy Peaches	2617				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sh	neet with the correspondence ad	dress			
Period fo	• •	/ 10 0ET TO EVDID	IF AMONTHUS OF THIRTY (S	20) DAVE			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMI 36(a). In no event, however vill apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	MUNICATION. , may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1,33).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 A	ugust 2007.					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	εx paπe Quayle, 193	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1,3-11,27,29-38 and 56 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1, 3-11, 27, 29-38 and 56</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	ent				
لــا(٥	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r cicolon requireme	(I.I.C.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			ED 1 121/d\			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
•							
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		0.0.0.440(.).(1)(0.				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	ed.	•			
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		• •	l Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copi	es not received.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)				
3) Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 No	per No(s)/Mail Date ptice of Informal Patent Application her:				

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1, 3-11, 27, 29-38 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cervello et al. (Patent Corporation Treaty PCT/EP01/10178).

Regarding *claims 1, 27 and 56*, Cervello et al. discloses of a method and system for dynamically selecting channels between access points and communicating terminals, which reads on claimed "adapted to decide a channel to be employed for communication out of a plurality of radio frequency channels, and to cause communication to be made between one radio station and the other radio station," out of a set of channels (see page 2 lines 8-10), the method comprising:

a first step of making decision of said channel at a contention free period
 (CFP)(see page 6 lines 25-27), which reads on claimed "certain timing," in said one radio station (see page 2 lines 12-14 and page 7 lines 6-11) said timing is a timing based on a predetermined constant period or a timing based on a variable period. Cervello et al. discloses on page 6 lines 25-27 wherein the said access

point measures channel conditions during contention free periods, which is a constant period; and

 a second step of notifying information relating to this decided channel to said other radio station. See page 10 lines 14-23.

Regarding *claims 3 and 29*, according to *claims 1 and 27*, Cervello et al. continues to disclose wherein decision of said channel is adapted to be made at random from among a plurality of the channels. See page 5 lines 13-22.

Regarding *claims 4 and 30*, according to *claims 1 and 27*, Cervello et al. continues to disclose wherein:

- a third step of acquiring information indicating each communication quality of said
 plurality of said channels to store it (see page 5 lines 20-33); and
- decision of the channel in said first step is adapted to be made based on said quality. See page 2 lines 15-16 and page 7 lines 31-34).

Regarding *claims 5 and 31*, according to *claims 1 and 27*, Cervello et al. continues to disclose wherein:

 a third step of storing information indicating each communication quality of said plurality of said channels, and information indicating an acquisition time of each quality thereof. See page 5 lines 25-34 and page 6 lines 1-15); and Application/Control Number: 10/764,590 Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

 decision of the channel in said first step is adapted to be made based on at least one of each quality of said plurality of said channels, and this acquisition time of quality information. See page 2 lines 15-16.

Regarding *claims* 6 *and* 32, according to *claims* 1 *and* 27, Cervello et al. continues to disclose wherein the channel having less interference is decided in said first step. See page 10 lines 5-12

Regarding *claims* 7-9 *and* 33-34, according to *claims* 5 *and* 31, Cervello et al. continues to disclose wherein the channel having the oldest acquisition time of this quality is decided in said first step. See page 7 lines 14-15. In addition, the Examiner would like to further stated that it is inherent that the said system will choose the oldest channel out of the set of channel to determine if the quality of the said channel is within tolerance.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to *claims 1, 3-11, 14-24, 27, 29-38 and 56* have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy Peaches whose telephone number is (571) 272-7914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,590

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Randy Peaches RP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6